

**COMMUNITY GOVERNANCE REVIEW**  
**AMBLE TOWN COUNCIL**  
**CONSULTATION RESPONSES**

**IN OBJECTION**

'This is to confirm that this matter was considered by the (Warkworth) Parish Council at their meeting on 7 November 2019 and they have the following comments:

The Parish Council objects to the application from Amble Town Council on the grounds that it is unnecessary, historically inappropriate, and because of the effect on the Parish precept. Also, the argument from the Town Council that the residents of the Gloster Hill area use the services and shops in Amble is absurd because Amble serves a number of villages, as well as a considerable rural area.

The Parish Council has also had feedback that is it not supported by residents.

I should be grateful if these comments could be fed into the consultation.'

-----

Hauxley Parish Council's First response. 'Following the bi monthly meeting of Hauxley Parish Council on Monday 11th November, where the above captioned subject was discussed, the Parish Council decided unanimously that they must object to the proposal by Amble Town Council. Hauxley Parish Council (HPC) have no comment to make regarding the proposals regarding Warkworth Parish, although we understand that their PC are objecting to the proposal. Our current objection refers to the boundary change to Hauxley Parish, which will include the whole of the sewage works and the new housing development in the adjacent field.

Our objection is based on three issues.

1. We have not had sufficient time to adequately research the implications of this proposal on the people of the parish, both present and future.
2. We have not had sufficient time to canvas opinion from the people of the parish so that we can properly represent their views.
3. We also consider that we have not been given sufficient information about Amble Town Council's proposals, and would look to both Amble Town Council and Northumberland County Council to furnish us with more detail how this will impact Hauxley Parish.

As you are aware HPC is developing its own Neighbourhood Plan so the timing of this request is unfortunate. The Plan will reflect Hauxley's unique identity which we want to maintain whilst incorporating new development that fits in with our plans. The plan will be developed in accordance with the wishes of the residents who will be contributing to the

Neighbourhood Plan. Our concern is to protect HPC's boundary to prevent any urban sprawl affecting Hauxley, whilst still allowing Amble Town Council's ambitions to grow.

We do not know how the Governance Review now proceeds but we would urge you to consider further extension to the decision to give us more time to consider the proposal. We are a small parish with limited resources and councillors, and we only meet bi-monthly. A further extension would allow us to properly research the implication of this proposal and make more informed and reasoned judgement.'

-----

Hauxley Parish Council's Second Response 'Further to the representation Hauxley Parish Council made to the proposed boundary alterations by Amble Town Council, we would like to make additional comment which we believe strengthens our position in strongly objecting to the proposed changes.

In March 2019 Hauxley Parish Council designated a neighbourhood area, for the purpose of preparing a Neighbourhood Development Plan to mirror the existing civil parish boundary. This existing civil parish boundary was shown at a very well attended (over 70 people of the parish attended) Neighbourhood Planning Consultation day held in January 2019. The result of the consultation was an overwhelming desire to proceed with a neighbourhood plan. This plan was to reflect the existing parish boundary, maintain the unique identity of Hauxley parish, and to ensure that the parish did not become part of the urban sprawl emanating from in, and around Amble.

At no time during the Neighbourhood Area designation did Amble Town Council object. In fact it is noted in the Neighbourhood Plan Area Designation report that they had 'no intention of joining with Hauxley' in terms of the neighbourhood area - see 3.5 on the following report

<https://www.northumberland.gov.uk/NorthumberlandCountyCouncil/media/Planning-and-Building/planning%20policy/Neighbourhood%20Planning/Hauxley-NP-Area-Designation-Decision-Report.pdf>

No justification is provided as to why Amble Town Council have requested the boundary alteration with Hauxley, although we suspect that this is in respect to the proposed development along Percy Drive by Persimmon Homes. The developer is required to maintain a hedge line which effectively runs along the existing parish boundary and provides a natural division within the proposed estate, as 'The Gut' marks the Warkworth boundary with Amble. Retaining the status quo would bring approximately 60 of the proposed 272 dwellings into Hauxley Parish. We welcome this and have taken this future development into account in the ongoing preparation of our Neighbourhood Plan.

It is suggested that the new residents of the proposed development will shop in Amble, use Amble facilities and services - can the same not be said for the existing residents of Hauxley, Togston and Warkworth parishes - do Amble plan on taking over the electoral arrangements for these areas too in the future? The Amble Parish is under exponential growth with a groundswell of proposed housing development. Should further housing developments be

proposed in the future do they again plan on increasing the land within their parish boundary? In our view a 'line' must be drawn, and this 'line' exists with the current parish boundary.

Whilst currently ofcourse there are no residents within the land in question, we have been able to carry out a limited survey of our present electorate, and our findings so far show that there is strong opposition to a change in the parish boundary. We therefore urge that the proposed boundary alteration be refused.

-----

'wish to record my objection to the proposal as a resident of Gloster Hill. It's a money making exercise by one of the most expensive councils in north Northumberland at the expense neighbouring councils and Gloster Hill residents.

The reasons for my decision are

The statement by Amble TC that the people have expressed a wish to change is a standard ploy of a selfopinionated politician putting their opinion into the third person and claiming a mandate. This should be disregarded

The argument that we should be in Amble because we use amble services is laughable. Everyone in Warkworth does the same, so why are they not annexing the whole of Warkworth and Hauxley.

If it's because I use some Amble shops maybe I should be paying paying my precept to Ashington and Amazon, equally for using medical services my money should go to Ashington and Newcastle.

If this argument was valid and the borders set on uses of services the ceded area should include the beacon, the braid where Amble residents exercise their dogs andthe bottle bank where Ambe residents take their empty Prosecco and beer bottles. This area also includes Amble marina, The area Amble TC hold events like concerts and firework displays.

Taking this further the boundary should include all land bound by the Amble town trail. ATC will not want this are as it will cost them money.

The services cited are not the responsibility of ATC their responsibilities according to their own website include Amble cemetery, Allotments, war memorial, flower beds and dog bins.'

-----

'I read in the Ambler about the proposed boundary change to Warkworth and Hauxley. I wish for you to note my opposition to this proposal.'

-----

'I'd like to add my comments to the proposed boundary changes by Amble Town Council. As a resident of High Hauxley, I am unhappy at the suggested change, which has been pushed out without any proper consultation to the people of the village. I have no idea if our own Parish Council has submitted a response, and I think it needs a proper consideration of the merits or otherwise, not just a press release from NCC.

Personally, I can only see Amble Town Council's motives as being purely to increase their finances. Literally the only reason they would want what is now a boggy field on the outskirts of Hauxley village is because they want more precept money when the Percy Drive housing is built. Also unless I'm mistaken in the boundary line, they don't even want the whole development site, just the majority of it, so it's very strange. I can't see any benefit for Hauxley in this. That is why I would say no to to this until a proper village consultation can take place outlining why this would be good for Hauxley.'

-----

'Further to receiving notice from Amble Town Council about the Governance Review for Amble, as the resident of \*\*\*\* Gloster Hill, Amble, myself and my husband STRONGLY OBJECT to this happening. Amble Town Councils reasons given for wanting this to happen are just a cover for a Council that is council tax grabbing.

I own a business on Queen Street and I am Chair of Amble Business Club. I have been told by the current chair and vice chair of the council that they have no interest in Queen Street as they do not receive the Business Rate, so why are they bothered that people shop in Amble. Having worked in Amble for thirty years I have never known the Town Council initiate anything for the community or Businesses, they very much take over if someone starts something that they they think is a good idea and want the praise for it.

I think the Boundary changes would be very sad for both Warkworth and Hauxley. Why should a Town Council come along and rape two parish councils of Council Tax, which is obviously what this is, this will only upset the balance of precept and create two small parishes to have to increase their precept.

I was born in the parish of Warkworth and have lived in it all my life, I do not think local heritage should be changed by a money grabbing council.'

-----

'I am writing to object to the proposed boundary change .

As a resident of Mariners View on Gloster Hill for over 18 years, I believe my view should be taken into consideration.

I wish to remain within the parish boundary of Warkworth.'

-----

'Thank you for the information which showed that our tax band for Warkworth is £40 less per annum than it would be in Amble!

We enjoy the facilities in Amble which I am sure would not be removed from us by remaining under Warkworth. As for representation, we do not think it would make any difference to us given that the new houses being built around us were not contested satisfactorily by the council in the face of Planning although almost all our neighbours objected.

So, we would be quite happy to remain under Warkworth.'

-----

'Once again Amble Town Council are wanting to put more rates money in their coffers. They bring this up on a regular basis and once again we write to object to the proposed change. There is no reason for it as we have had good and reliable support from Warkworth Parish. We reside in Warkworth Parish (historical), but live in Amble Town (a postal imposition). Perhaps we should be in Morpeth, as that too is in our address. We have had several years of disruption on Gloster Hill thanks to NCC imposing building in every corner of the area. Warkworth PC did their best to oppose this. What we really object to is that Amble Town Council have once again not been honest and open with ratepayers. It will cost us more to be within Amble than Warkworth. When the Town Councillor delivered the notification, I asked him why this point was not made. Like any politician (and he is not meant to be political!) he chose to ignore that question, responding with "because we can do more for you if you are with us." We wish to remain in our home, but will be unable to do so if we squander money without reason.

If we were in Band D: Warkworth PC rates for 2018/19 - £81.58

Amble Town Council rates – £116.45 Band D

In Band E the rates will be higher, but Amble TC are shy of informing us of the amount. For 2018/19

Band E is Warkworth PC £99.70.

Until ALL householders on Gloster Hill are told of the differences and given cast iron assurances of "what more we can do for you", there should be no changes. Perhaps they have money to waste, we do not. This change should be a unanimous decision from all householders on Gloster Hill.'

-----

'Thank you for your reply which was really helpful.

We would like to register our objection to the proposed boundary change. There are absolutely no reasons why we should be absorbed into a larger boundary as we will gain nothing and be at risk of receiving less help as the boundary could have too many issues to deal with.

We feel that our current parish boundary serves our area better as we are much more similar to a rural village like Warkworth than a busy town centre like Amble.

Whilst we may have an Amble address we don't see our area as being part of the town. We use the facilities occasionally but use other towns much more like Alnwick, Morpeth and Newcastle as they have much more on offer. I have worked in various parts of the county in the last 10 years but I have never worked in Amble so we have no particular loyalties to the town which would encourage us to change boundary. Please register our objection. '

-----

'The scrap of paper says "many of you have expressed you feel more part of Amble than Warkworth", but you fail to say exactly how many and what proportion that is of the total inhabitants of this area and how many of the people contacted said they'd happily be financially significantly out-of-pocket to change the parish boundary. How can you possibly attempt to justify this off-the-cuff remark to change the boundary? Let me guess? Money!

It goes on to say that we use Amble facilities. We use Warkworth, Morpeth, Alnwick, Newcastle facilities too. That just means we give a portion of wealth to our local communities. It doesn't mean we want to change our Parish Boundary!

We understand the annexation would mean our house rates would increase too - a figure of approximately £30.00 per month has been suggested, but we would gladly receive your commitment that this is not the case as we do not want to give in excess of £300.00 per year to Amble for effectively nothing in return. No thanks!

When we moved to Gloster Hill we had to change our home and vehicle insurances. We informed the relevant parties that we were effectively within the Warkworth postcode, not Amble and surprise, surprise, the cost was less expensive than Amble.

This is a flagrant attempt by Amble Town Council to get more money into its systems, and to the financial detriment of Warkworth and the residents in this area, most of whom are pensioners.

No thank you, we don't want to change from Warkworth to Amble and we certainly do not want to be financially disadvantaged.

Your earliest response confirming that these plans have been resigned to the dustbin would be gratefully received.'

-----

'Further to the note we received about the change of the parish boundary we would not agree to it if it was put to a consultation.

We are more than happy to stay within the Walkworth parish and hope that you will take notice of our views.'

-----

'With respect to the change in parish boundaries, I live at 27 Mariners view so would be directly affected by the change in boundary.

I am strongly against a change of boundary for the following reasons:-

1. It is also the boundary between north and south Northumberland and the housing allocations are different between the 2. This is a cynical attempt to put more houses in to the amble area.
2. I identify very strongly with Warkworth. I was born in Warkworth, I grew up in Warkworth and part of the reason for buying this house 20 years ago was because it was within the parish of Warkworth. I'm 4th generation Warkworth. People new to the area might not identify as strongly but I can see the castle from my kitchen window and it is really, really important to me.
3. The allocation of council resources are dependant on the people living there. Everything is being taken away from Warkworth even though it is getting bigger. Give us a doctors surgery and I'll happily go there. I only moved to the amble surgery when the Warkworth one was shut down.
4. I shop where I can in Warkworth and I eat in their cafes and restaurants. My mental health runs (the ones to make you feel alive) all go through Warkworth. I support the quiz and I even go to see the tour of Britain come through Warkworth, even though I could just walk down to my road end.

I visit the castle and beaches. That's a lot of facilities I use in Warkworth that I don't use in Amble. I do use the doctors and shops in amble and I go to spurellis a lot but I shop more in alnwick and I do all my volunteering up in alnwick so the facilities in amble are still not good enough to keep me here over Warkworth.

Please do not take my identity away. I want to have my say in Warkworth as that is where I live.'

-----

'Please could you explain the purpose and motivation of changing the boundary? And why the boundary extends beyond the existing developments? How can one consult when these motivations are not published?

My concern is this boundary change is purely to drive further planning permission for the fields behind gloster meadows.

Therefore I absolutely object to this change.

It appears that any form of consultation at present appears to be over ridden by the current council. Apart from, of course, to the west of the county where it appears no further building of low cost housing is occurring!

We need a break from further developments until the infrastructure is developed within Amble and surrounding areas. ‘

-----

‘We have lived at \*\*\* Rivergreen since the property was built. We have no wish for our home to be within Amble Parish. No details of any survey or poll have been offered by you to support the claim that “many have expressed that we feel more part of Amble than Warkworth”.

As far as we are concerned most people we know have expressed the wish to stay in Warkworth. We were married at our Parish Church in Warkworth which we attend and wish to be buried there. I am a member of Warkworth WI and have been since we moved here. Our social life and most of our local friends are in Warkworth.

Will there be a public meeting about this matter ?’

-----

‘I refer to the proposed alteration to the parish boundaries of Amble and Warkworth.

I currently reside in Rivergreen, Amble, and strongly object to the proposal that Rivergreen be incorporated with Amble.

I wish to register my objection and confirm that I wish to remain within Warkworth Parish.’

-----

(Partly illegible) ‘There are too many coffee shops and fast food places in Amble. Amble needs a tackle shop like David Allen or Gray had when I first came to Warkworth. Young Sports turned into Lawrences garden shop. Amble also needs a mans shop like C.E. Robertson again. As I lived in Warkworth from 1966-2007 I am and always will be Warkworth. \*\*\*\* Mariners Court.

-----

‘I wish to remain within the Warkworth Parish.’

-----

‘I am sitting in my home this morning, having breakfast, whilst looking out over Warkworth Harbour. To my left I see Warkworth Castle, run by English Heritage of which I am a member and so visit frequently.

Already I am already thinking about the quiz questions that may come up at the regular pub quiz which my wife and I have supported at the Sun Hotel, Warkworth, every Tuesday for the past three years.

This reminds me that today we will be picking up our grandchildren t 3 p.m. from school - Warkworth C of E Aided First School. Must not forget this!

On Saturday we took them to the Warkworth Harbour Quayside chip shop and duly paid out parking fee to the Warkworth Harbour Commissioners.

I almost forgot to mention that my wife is deciding what subject to paint this week at her weekly art club session at Warkworth Memorial Hall.

Why do I mention all of the above? Because it is clearly at the heart of this review.

My wife and I have been visiting this part of Northumberland for over eight years and made the decision to retire here to live some three years ago. We love Warkworth, just as we love Amble. In equal measure. We also love living on Gloster Hill.

Gloster Hill is not a street or a road, but is and has been a hamlet in its own right for hundreds of years. Its history is varied and interesting, going back a very long time, even before Amble, I understand. Certainly on a par with Warkworth, including the period when it was owned by the Baron of Warkworth circa the 14th Century I believe.

So what has prompted this review by Amble Town Council.

The unaddressed note we received through our letterbox states 'many of you have expressed that you feel more part of Amble than Warkworth'. In the time I have lived here on Gloster Hill I have never had or heard of discussions by neighbours or residents on this subject matter. I say honestly that it is simply a non-topic of conversation. So what has really prompted this review?

I think it is very important that Amble Town Council state precisely and clearly what is behind this review. What are the implications for each resident. What is the impact on our daily life, and what are the financial implications with regard to the Council Tax and rateable value of our homes.

Surely this matter cannot be a high priority? Why are Amble Town Council wasting public money on this exercise. Already there is an exponential expansion of Amble residents around its boundaries. An expansion putting significant pressures on local resources and infrastructure, especially with regard to health and medical services.

I trust that you will give this letter your full attention and would appreciate that you acknowledge receipt.

I trust that all concerned will reach a sensible decision on this matter and let the subject rest.'

-----

As residents for some 21 years at Mariners View, we object strongly to Amble Town Councils attempt to yet again “cream off” the parts of Warkworth that bring in more cash and councillors, leaving those parts not bringing in revenue but costing money e.g. The Braid.

The Warkworth precept is far less than Amble’s, perhaps showing more prudence on Warkworth’s part? As pensioners, we would find the extra precept a further strain on the budget.

The argument that those of us who live in the Mariners View area use the Amble services is poor as we all must share Dentists, Doctors etc. Most of those living in the surrounding area,, as well as Amble residents, do their major shopping in Alnwick, Ashington or maybe Morpeth.

We are proud to live in part of Warkworth and have always found the local council helpful and obliging. Amble council repeatedly has to co-opt members , so they will find an extra two councillors hard to find.

It is very interesting that two of the Amble Councillors have Warkworth addresses!

We hope therefore that the boundaries not be interfered with and they be left in their historical state.

-----

Gloster Hill has historically always been part of Warkworth Parish and its inhabitants have been active participants and benefactors of the parish.

Our house (\*\*\*\*\*) has stood within the parish of Warkworth for well over 100 years. Its numerous occupants have been christened, married and been buried at St Lawrence's Church. My children went to Warkworth School and my daughter was married in the church. Over the 30 plus years we have lived here we have belonged to many clubs and societies, all based in Warkworth and we have attended numerous events in the Memorial Hall. We consider St Lawrence's to be our church and have been far more active in the village than we have ever been in Amble and so would be very disappointed to be moved out of the parish.

I would also like to point out that the proposed boundary for Amble Parish cuts our property in two - half of our garden would still be in the parish of Warkworth.

-----

Following a leaflet I have received through my door, I would like to raise my concerns about the proposed boundary changes. I don not understand the reason for the change and see no benefit to myself as a resident to change from Warkworth to Amble Parish. The only fact

I have been made aware of as a result of the change is that my council tax would increase if I were to be moved to Amble Town Council. This is a rise I could not justify without good reason and therefore reject this proposal without further clarification.

-----

It has been brought to our attention that the County Council is considering a proposal to redefine parish boundaries in our area, which would cause our bungalow to come under the auspices of Amble Town Council rather than Warkworth Parish Council as is currently the case. Such a proposal concerns us greatly and we are vehemently opposed.

My wife and I have two main concerns:

- Firstly, I understand this would result in a major increase of our community charge in the region of £35 a year, which as pensioners on a fixed and limited income we greatly object to.
- Secondly, we are very concerned that this change would have a significant adverse effect on our property value. Bungalows such as ours are worth around £70,000-£100,000 less in the Amble area than in Warkworth; and I very much doubt the council will be offering compensation for such a loss of value.

For these reasons, we would therefore like to state as clearly and vociferously as possible that we are strongly opposed to this proposal and very much hope that it will not be approved.

-----

‘Thank you for communicating with us about the above matter. Both my wife and I are happy to remain in Warkworth Parish and do not see any advantages of moving to Amble Parish.’

-----

## **IN SUPPORT**

‘As a resident of Amble, I write in support of the review of Amble Town Council boundaries and representation.

It is important that the areas mentioned become part of this parish, not only for the benefit of their current residents but also for that of the potential future development residents.

These areas need to formally become a vibrant and connected part of the Amble area to which they rightly belong, being much closer to the settlement of Amble than either Warkworth or Hauxley.

They need to have a say on future plans for housing and the environment as well as health, school, welfare and leisure facilities to name a few as these are all aspects of the community to which they are more readily aligned. They need a say in the town’s future economic

development, employment opportunities and methods of maintaining a safe environment for their health, well-being and life.

Many Amble residents are astonished to find that the proposed areas are in Warkworth & Hauxley parishes yet right next to housing developments in Amble parish and within the Highways boundary for Amble.

Not only do they geographically 'fit' Amble more, but many see Amble as their local neighbourhood, enjoy its services and facilities and join in the wealth of community opportunities the town offers whether as volunteers or participants. In many ways, they identify with Amble and its population rather than with Warkworth or Hauxley.

Amble has expanded and grown immensely in the last few years, welcoming a diverse range of people from all backgrounds as well as all ages, religions and cultures; it is adapting and changing with these but needs to broaden further and give others the opportunity to be part of the community they are closer to and to offer their expertise to help the Town Council mould this new forward thinking neighbourhood.

The residents in these areas need to be given a trusted, legal right to belong to Amble with a share in the future vision of the town by becoming part of its parish with the right to vote there and influence who represents the place they are closest to and visit most frequently rather than remain in a parish where possibly they only pass through or may take the time to cast their vote!

Some residents may be swayed towards staying in Warkworth parish merely because currently their precept is less, not realising that this figure can dramatically change with the addition of a few properties or with larger ones- therefore this should not be seen as valid grounds for stopping these boundary changes.

The additional properties added through this review and already added by the recent developments near Dandsfield Square and the Persimmons estate plus future proposed developments emphatically support the proposal of two further councillors for these two wards.'

-----

'Having seen the map of proposed new Amble Town Council boundary, I agree it makes sense subject to the following logical observations :-

1. why not include the Braid, Amble Marina, Coquet Yacht Club, Amble Boat Club and the Shorebase Trust, all of which are clearly part of the Amble community ?
2. The Gloster Hill boundary should include the two cottages on the north side of the road
3. The boundary east of the sewage works should include the next field east, which already has permission for more Amble housing (see your own planning application 17/01677/OUT)'

-----

'With reference to the title of this email , I \*\*\*\*\* residing at \*\*\*\*\* Mariners View , Gloster Hill would like for my property to be included in the Amble constituency.'

-----

'I agree my home should be in Amble parish. I rarely visit Warkworth and Amble has all I require.'

-----

'Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed change to the Parish Boundary.

My wife and I support the proposal to include our property within Amble.

We use Amble for local services, leisure and cultural activities. We feel part of the Town. In fact, only having lived here for a couple of years I always thought we were part of Amble.'

-----

'We are 100% committed to being within Amble Parish and are at a loss to understand the anomaly that had us part of Warkworth which we have nothing in common with. '

-----

'have received a leaflet indicating that the County Council is considering a request by Amble Town Council to adjust the northern parish boundary relative to Warkworth parish. You have requested responses by 18 October.

I confirm that my name is \*\*\*\*\* and that I am the owner/occupier/council tax payer of the house at \*\*\* Rivergreen, Amble, NE65 0GZ.

The street in which I live is certainly an integral part of Amble town both functionally and in landscape/townscape terms and it would make sense for it, as well as the marina, yacht and boat clubs, and the Gloster Hill houses, to contribute to the upkeep of the town rather than Warkworth village. That said, I note that the parish tax precept for Amble is currently about 50% higher than that for Warkworth and would suggest that all local communities in the County should pay a uniform rate, even if at the higher level.

I should also like to point out that the street lighting on Rotary Way does not extend up to the 30 mph speed limit and would expect that this will be remedied if the section is included in Amble parish. At the moment there is a dark and tricky, uneven path extending for about 100 metres from the existing town lights to the public street light in Rivergreen.

I support the proposal and hope you find my comments useful.'

-----

'We recognise that geographically we are much closer to Amble than Warkworth. Politically we have never been invited to vote in Warkworth elections, nor are we included in Warkworth in Bloom. Equally, we are banned from taking part in Amble's Garden competition. Our neighbours have to travel 2 miles to vote in Warkworth. It makes sense for Gloster Hill to be part of Amble! It makes sense for Amble and Warkworth to be combined.'

-----

With reference to proposed changes, I support both proposals for change of boundary. Inclusion of part of Warkworth parish rationalises developments so far, and present residents of the area described probably use the amenities of Amble far more than those of Warkworth (which has very few amenities anyway).

The area of Hauxley Parish suggested for inclusion might be wise, as the sewage works involved could be affected by activity on the adjoining trading estate, as well as the rest of Amble's sewage. There may be necessity to expand it in the future and there is space to do so.

Concerning an increase of two councillors, I think a wider spread of opinion is a good thing, and with the plans for new houses encouraging new residents, we could have a bigger spread of ideas. Hopefully.

-----

I write as an Amble resident to support the governance review for Amble Town Council. These areas are rightly seen as part of Amble, being right next door to its housing estates.

All the current residents and future ones, will use whatever Amble facilities and services there are rather than go to Warkworth's few and Hauxley's none!!

These areas should vote here so that they have a voice and should pay their parish money here to support what there is and help develop more rather than just partake in them.

-----